

STUDENT NAME:

SU NUMBER:

PROGRAMME:

DISSERTATION TITLE:

SUBMISSION:

First

Second

### **ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND REPORT**

For the degree Doctor of Philosophy in Engineering, the dissertation must provide clear and convincing evidence of original work and must make a significant, novel contribution to knowledge in the field of study concerned. The Faculty accepts both standard thesis type dissertations, and publication-based dissertations. For the latter, any or all of the content chapters can be replaced by a journal or conference paper, but the dissertation should still form a logical and coherent unit, with a comprehensive introduction, literature study, and conclusion.

**The examiner is kindly requested to evaluate the dissertation and *submit a separate, written report (please select tick box to confirm if attached)* in accordance with the criteria listed below.**

Written Report attached

- \* The scope of the work is that which can be expected from a PhD.
- \* The work contains significant novel and original contributions.
- \* The work is publishable in a professional, peer-reviewed, journal.
- \* The dissertation shows an acceptable level of familiarity with the relevant research literature.
- \* The dissertation shows an acceptable command of the relevant research method.
- \* The student shows an acceptable ability to interpret research results.
- \* A clear and systematic presentation of the material and logical exposition of the argument are presented.
- \* Proper documentation of the results of independent research is presented.
- \* The dissertation shows acceptable linguistic and stylistic presentation.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

My evaluation of the dissertation is as follows *(please select the relevant option)*:

**First submission** of the dissertation:

- (a) The dissertation satisfies assessment criteria sufficiently. I have indicated changes and/or corrections, which should be completed to the satisfaction of the supervisor(s) before the final copy can be submitted to the Stellenbosch University archive.
- (b) The dissertation fails to satisfy the assessment criteria. I have indicated major changes and/or improvements, which must be completed before an outcome can be determined. The dissertation can be improved once only, after which it must be resubmitted.
- (c) The dissertation fails comprehensively to satisfy the assessment requirements. No resubmission is recommended. The student fails.

**Second submission** of the dissertation:

- (d) After one resubmission, the dissertation satisfies the assessment criteria sufficiently. I have indicated changes and/or corrections, which should be completed to the satisfaction of the supervisor(s) before the final copy can be submitted to the Stellenbosch University archive.
- (e) After one resubmission, the dissertation fails to satisfy the assessment criteria. The student fails.

## **CONFIDENTIALITY AND SIGNATURE**

Please select **only one** of the following options:

**All** of my comments may be made available to the student.

**Only some** of my comments may be made available to the student, as indicated in the report.

**None** of my comments may be made available to the student.

NAME & SURNAME:

DATE:

SIGNATURE: